arXiv:1406.4957v1 [math.FA] 19 Jun 2014

Boundedness of intrinsic square functions and their commutators on generalized weighted Orlicz-Morrey spaces

Vagif Guliyev[a,b,1], Mehriban Omarova[b,c], Yoshihiro Sawano[d]

Abstract. We shall investigate the boundedness of the intrinsic square functions and their commutators on generalized weighted Orlicz-Morrey spaces $M^{\Phi,\varphi}_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In all the cases, the conditions for the boundedness are given in terms of Zygmund-type integral inequalities on weights φ without assuming any monotonicity property of $\varphi(x,\cdot)$ with x fixed.

1 Introduction

In the present paper, we are concerned with the intrinsic square functions, which Wilson introduced initially [36, 37]. For $0<\alpha\le 1$, let C_α be the family of Lipschitz functions $\phi:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}$ of order α with the homogeneous norm 1 such that the support of ϕ is contained in the closed ball $\{x:|x|\le 1\}$, and that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\phi(x)dx=0$. For $(y,\ t)\in\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ and $f\in L^{1,\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, set

$$A_\alpha f(t,\ y)\equiv\sup_{\phi\in C_\alpha}|f*\phi_t(y)|,$$

where $\phi_t\equiv t^{-n}\phi\left(\frac{\cdot}{t}\right)$. Let β be an auxiliary parameter. Then we define the varying-aperture intrinsic square (intrinsic Lusin) function of f by the formula;

$$G_{\alpha,\beta}(f)(x)\equiv\left(\iint_{\Gamma_\beta(x)}(A_\alpha f(t,y))^2\frac{dydt}{t^{n+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $\Gamma_\beta(x)\equiv\{(y,t)\in\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+:|x-y|<\beta t\}$. Write $G_\alpha(f)=G_{\alpha,1}(f)$.

Everywhere in the sequel, $B(x,r)$ stands for the ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$ of radius r centered at x and we let $|B(x,r)|$ be the Lebesgue measure of the ball $B(x,r)$; $|B(x,r)|=v_nr^n$, where v_n is the volume of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$. We recall generalized weighted Orlicz-Morrey spaces, on which we work in the present paper.

Definition 1.1 (Generalized weighted Orlicz-Morrey Space)**.** Let φ be a positive measurable function on $\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,\infty)$, let w be non-negative measurable function on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and Φ any Young function. Denote by $M^{\Phi,\varphi}_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the generalized weighted Orlicz-Morrey space, the space of all functions $f\in L^{\Phi,\mathrm{loc}}_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$

[1] Corresponding author.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42B25; Secondary 42B20, 42B35, 46E30.
Key words and phrases. Generalized weighted Orlicz-Morrey space, intrinsic square functions, commutator, BMO.

such that

$$\|f\|_{M_w^{\Phi,\varphi}} \equiv \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n, r>0} \varphi(x,r)^{-1}\, \Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x,r))^{-1}\big)\, \|f\|_{L_w^{\Phi}(B(x,r))},$$

where $\|f\|_{L_w^{\Phi}(B(x,r))} \equiv \inf\left\{\lambda>0 \,:\, \int_{B(x,r)} \Phi\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\right) w(x)\,dx \le 1\right\}$.

According to this definition, we recover the generalized weighted Morrey space $M_w^{p,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by the choice $\Phi(r)=r^p$, $1\le p<\infty$. If $\Phi(r)=r^p$, $1\le p<\infty$ and $\varphi(x,r)=r^{-\frac{\lambda}{p}}$, $0\le\lambda\le n$, then $M_w^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ coincides with the weighted Morrey space $M_w^{p,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and if $\varphi(x,r)=\Phi^{-1}(w(B(x,r)^{-1}))$, then $M_w^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ coincides with the weighted Orlicz space $L_w^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. When $w=1$, then $L_w^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is abbreviated to $L^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The space $L^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the classical Orlicz space.

Our first theorem of the present paper is the following one:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\alpha\in(0,1]$ and $1<p_0\le p_1<\infty$. Let Φ be a Young function which is lower type p_0 and upper type p_1. Namely,*

$$\Phi(st_0)\le Ct_0{}^{p_0}\Phi(s), \quad \Phi(st_1)\le Ct_1{}^{p_1}\Phi(s)$$

for all $s>0$ and $0<t_0\le 1\le t_1<\infty$. Assume that $w\in A_{p_0}$ and that the measurable functions $\varphi_1,\varphi_2:\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,\infty)\to(0,\infty)$ and Φ satisfy the condition;

$$\int_r^{\infty} \operatorname*{ess\,inf}_{t<s<\infty} \frac{\varphi_1(x,s)}{\Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,s))^{-1}\big)} \Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,t))^{-1}\big)\frac{dt}{t} \le C\,\varphi_2(x,r), \quad (1.1)$$

where C does not depend on x and r. Then G_α is bounded from $M_w^{\Phi,\varphi_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $M_w^{\Phi,\varphi_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 1.2 extends the result below due to Liang, Nakai, Yang and Zhou.

Theorem 1.3. [19] *Let $\alpha\in(0,1]$ and $1<p_0\le p_1<\infty$. Let Φ be a Young function which is lower type p_0 and upper type p_1. Then G_α is bounded from $L^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to itself.*

The function $G_{\alpha,\beta}(f)$ is independent of any particular kernel, such as the Poisson kernel. It dominates pointwise the classical square function (Lusin area integral) and its real-variable generalizations. Although the function $G_{\alpha,\beta}(f)$ depends on kernels with uniform compact support, there is pointwise relation between $G_{\alpha,\beta}(f)$ with different β:

$$G_{\alpha,\beta}(f)(x)\le \beta^{\frac{3n}{2}+\alpha} G_\alpha(f)(x)\ .$$

See [36] for details.

The intrinsic Littlewood-Paley g-function is defined by

$$g_\alpha f(x)\equiv\left(\int_0^{\infty}(A_\alpha f(t,x))^2\frac{dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Also, the intrinsic $g^*_{\lambda,\alpha}$ function is defined by

$$g^*_{\lambda,\alpha} f(x) \equiv \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} \left(\frac{t}{t+|x-y|} \right)^{n\lambda} (A_\alpha f(t,y))^2 \frac{dydt}{t^{n+1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

About this intrinsic Littlewood-Paley g-function, we shall prove the following boundedness property:

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\alpha \in (0,1]$, $1 < p_0 \le p_1 < \infty$ and $\lambda \in \left(3 + \frac{2\alpha}{n}, \infty\right)$. Let also Φ be a Young function which is lower type p_0 and upper type p_1. Assume that $w \in A_{p_0}$ and that the functions $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : \mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ and Φ satisfy the condition (1.1). Then $g^*_{\lambda,\alpha}$ is bounded from $M^{\Phi,\varphi_1}_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $M^{\Phi,\varphi_2}_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

In [36], the author proved that the functions $G_\alpha f$ and $g_\alpha f$ are pointwise comparable. Thus, as a consequence of Theorem 1.2, we have the following result:

Corollary 1.5. *Let $\alpha \in (0,1]$ and $1 < p_0 \le p_1 < \infty$. Let also Φ be a Young function which is lower type p_0 and upper type p_1. Assume in addition that $w \in A_{p_0}$ and that the functions $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : \mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ and Φ satisfy the condition (1.1). Then g_α is bounded from $M^{\Phi,\varphi_1}_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $M^{\Phi,\varphi_2}_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Let b be a locally integrable function on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Setting

$$A_{\alpha,b} f(t,y) \equiv \sup_{\phi \in C_\alpha} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [b(y) - b(z)] \phi_t(y-z) f(z) dz \right|,$$

we can define the commutators $[b, G_\alpha]$, $[b, g_\alpha]$ and $[b, g^*_{\lambda,\alpha}]$ by;

$$[b, G_\alpha] f(x) \equiv \left(\iint_{\Gamma(x)} (A_{\alpha,b} f(t,y))^2 \frac{dydt}{t^{n+1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$[b, g_\alpha] f(x) \equiv \left(\int_0^\infty (A_{\alpha,b} f((t,x))^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$[b, g^*_{\lambda,\alpha}] f(x) \equiv \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} \left(\frac{t}{t+|x-y|} \right)^{\lambda n} (A_{\alpha,b} f(t,y))^2 \frac{dydt}{t^{n+1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

respectively. A function $f \in L^{1,\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is said to be in $\mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ [27] if

$$\|f\|_* \equiv \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r>0} \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y) - f_{B(x,r)}| dy < \infty,$$

where $f_{B(x,r)} \equiv \dfrac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \displaystyle\int_{B(x,r)} f(y) dy$.

About the bounededness of $[b, G_\alpha]$ on Orlicz spaces, we shall invoke the following result:

Theorem 1.6. [19] *Let $\alpha \in (0,1]$, $1 < p_0 \le p_1 < \infty$ and $b \in \mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let Φ be a Young function which is lower type p_0 and upper type p_1 and $w \in A_{p_0}$. Then $[b, G_\alpha]$ is bounded on $L^\Phi_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

About the commutator above, we shall prove the following boundedness property in the present paper:

Theorem 1.7. *Suppose that we are given parameters α, p_0, p_1 and functions b, w, φ, φ_2 with the following properties:*

1. *$\alpha \in (0,1], 1 < p_0 \le p_1 < \infty$,*
2. *$b \in \mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$*
3. *Φ is a Young function which is lower type p_0 and upper type p_1.*
4. *$w \in A_{p_0}$,*
5. *φ_1, φ_2 and Φ satisfy the condition;*

$$\int_r^\infty \Big(1+\ln\frac{t}{r}\Big) \operatorname*{ess\,inf}_{t<s<\infty} \frac{\varphi_1(x,s)\Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,t))^{-1}\big)}{\Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,s))^{-1}\big)} \frac{dt}{t} \le C\,\varphi_2(x,r), \quad (1.2)$$

where C does not depend on x and r.

Then the operator $[b, G_\alpha]$ is bounded from $M^{\Phi,\varphi_1}_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $M^{\Phi,\varphi_2}_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

In [36], the author proved that the functions $G_\alpha f$ and $g_\alpha f$ are pointwise comparable. From the definition of the commutators, the same can be said for $[b, G_\alpha]$ and $[b, g_\alpha]$. Thus, as a consequence of Theorem 1.2, we have the following result:

Corollary 1.8. *Let $\alpha \in (0,1]$, $1 < p_0 \le p_1 < \infty$ and $b \in \mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let Φ be a Young function which is lower type p_0 and upper type p_1. Assume $w \in A_{p_0}$ and that the functions φ_1, φ_2 and Φ satisfy the condition* (1.2)*, then $[b, g_\alpha]$ is bounded from $M^{\Phi,\varphi_1}_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $M^{\Phi,\varphi_2}_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Remark 1.9. By going through an argument similar to the above proofs and that of Theorem 1.4, we can also show the boundedness of $[b, g^*_{\lambda,\alpha}]$. We omit the details.

Here let us make a historical remark. Wilson [36] proved that G_α is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $1 < p < \infty$ and $0 < \alpha \le 1$. After that, Huang and Liu [14] studied the boundedness of intrinsic square functions on weighted Hardy spaces. Moreover, they characterized the weighted Hardy spaces by intrinsic square functions. In [33] and [34], Wang and Liu obtained some weak type estimates on weighted Hardy spaces. In [32], Wang considered intrinsic functions and commutators generated by BMO functions on weighted Morrey spaces. In [38], Wu proved the boundedness of intrinsic square functions and their commutators inspired by the ideas of Guliyev [4, 5, 6, 7]. In [19], Liang et al. studied

the boundedness of these operators on Musielak-Orlicz Morrey spaces. Orlicz-Morrey spaces were initially introduced and studied by Nakai in [24]. Also for the boundedness of the operators of harmonic analysis on Orlicz-Morrey spaces, see also [2, 12, 25, 26, 31]. Our definition of Orlicz-Morrey spaces (see [2]) is different from those by Nakai [24] and Sawano et al. [31] used recently in [3].

Here and below, we use the following notations: By $A \lesssim B$ we mean that $A \leq CB$ with some positive constant C independent of relavant quantities. If $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$, we write $A \approx B$ and say that A and B are equivalent.

Finally, we descrive how we organize the present paper. In Section 2 we recall some preliminary facts such as Young functions and John-Nirenberg inequality. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.4. We prove Theorem 1.7 in Section 4.

2 Preliminaries

As is well known, classical Morrey spaces stemmed from Morrey's observation for the local behavior of solutions to second order elliptic partial differential equations [23]. We recall its definition:

$$M_{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ f \in L^{p,\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \|f\|_{M_{p,\lambda}} := \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n,\, r>0} r^{-\frac{\lambda}{p}} \|f\|_{L^p(B(x,r))} < \infty \right\},$$

where $0 \leq \lambda \leq n$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. The scale $M_{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ covers the $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in the sense that $M_{p,0}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

We are thus oriented to a generalization of the parameters p and λ.

2.1 Young functions and Orlicz spaces

We next recall the definition of Young functions.

Definition 2.1. A function $\Phi : [0, +\infty) \to [0, \infty]$ is called a Young function, if Φ is convex, left-continuous, $\lim_{r\to+0} \Phi(r) = \Phi(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{r\to+\infty} \Phi(r) = \infty$.

The convexity and the condition $\Phi(0) = 0$ force any Young function to be increasing. In particular, if there exists $s \in (0, +\infty)$ such that $\Phi(s) = +\infty$, then it follows that $\Phi(r) = +\infty$ for $r \geq s$.

Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be the set of all Young functions Φ such that

$$0 < \Phi(r) < +\infty \qquad \text{for} \qquad 0 < r < +\infty \tag{2.1}$$

If $\Phi \in \mathcal{Y}$, then Φ is absolutely continuous on every closed interval in $[0, +\infty)$ and bijective from $[0, +\infty)$ to itself.

Orlicz spaces, introduced in [28, 29], also generalize Lebesgue spaces. They are useful tools in harmonic analysis and these spaces are applied to many other problems in harmonic analysis. For example, the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $1 < p < \infty$, but not on $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Using

Orlicz spaces, we can investigate the boundedness of the maximal operator near $p = 1$ more precisely.

In the present paper we are concerned with the weighted setting.

Definition 2.2 (Weighted Orlicz Space)**.** For a Young function Φ and a non-negative measurable function w on $\mathbb{R}^n$, the set

$$L_w^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n) \equiv \left\{ f \in L_w^{\Phi,\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(k|f(x)|)w(x)dx < +\infty \text{ for some } k > 0 \right\}$$

is called the weighted Orlicz space. The local weighted Orlicz space $L_w^{\Phi,\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined as the set of all functions f such that $f\chi_B \in L_w^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all balls $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and this space is endowed with the natural topology.

Note that $L_w^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a Banach space with respect to the norm

$$\|f\|_{L_w^\Phi} \equiv \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi\Big(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\Big)w(x)dx \le 1 \right\}.$$

See [30, Section 3, Theorem 10] for example. In particular, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi\Big(\frac{|f(x)|}{\|f\|_{L_w^\Phi}}\Big)w(x)dx \le 1.$$

If $\Phi(r) = r^p$, $1 \le p < \infty$, then $L_w^\Phi = L_w^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with norm coincidence. If $\Phi(r) = 0$, $(0 \le r \le 1)$ and $\Phi(r) = \infty$, $(r > 1)$, then $L_w^\Phi = L_w^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

For a Young function Φ and $0 \le s \le +\infty$, let

$$\Phi^{-1}(s) \equiv \inf\{r \ge 0 : \Phi(r) > s\} \qquad (\inf \emptyset = +\infty).$$

If $\Phi \in \mathcal{Y}$, then Φ^{-1} is the usual inverse function of Φ. We also note that

$$\Phi(\Phi^{-1}(r)) \le r \le \Phi^{-1}(\Phi(r)) \quad \text{ for } 0 \le r < +\infty. \tag{2.2}$$

A Young function Φ is said to satisfy the Δ_2-condition, denoted by $\Phi \in \Delta_2$, if

$$\Phi(2r) \le k\Phi(r) \text{ for } r > 0$$

for some $k > 1$. If $\Phi \in \Delta_2$, then $\Phi \in \mathcal{Y}$. A Young function Φ is said to satisfy the ∇_2-condition, denoted also by $\Phi \in \nabla_2$, if

$$\Phi(r) \le \frac{1}{2k}\Phi(kr), \qquad r \ge 0,$$

for some $k > 1$. The function $\Phi(r) = r$ satisfies the Δ_2-condition and it fails the ∇_2-condition. If $1 < p < \infty$, then $\Phi(r) = r^p$ satisfies both the conditions. The function $\Phi(r) = e^r - r - 1$ satisfies the ∇_2-condition but it fails the Δ_2-condition.

Definition 2.3. A Young function Φ is said to be of upper type p (resp. lower type p) for some $p \in [0, \infty)$, if there exists a positive constant C such that, for all $t \in [1, \infty)$ (resp. $t \in [0, 1]$) and $s \in [0, \infty)$,

$$\Phi(st) \le Ct^p\Phi(s).$$

Remark 2.4. If Φ is lower type p_0 and upper type p_1 with $1 < p_0 \le p_1 < \infty$, then $\Phi \in \Delta_2 \cap \nabla_2$. Conversely if $\Phi \in \Delta_2 \cap \nabla_2$, then Φ is lower type p_0 and upper type p_1 with $1 < p_0 \le p_1 < \infty$; see [17] for example.

About the norm $\|f\|_{M_w^{\Phi,\varphi}}$, we have the following equivalent expression: If Φ satisfies the Δ_2-condition, then the norm $\|f\|_{M_w^{\Phi,\varphi}}$ is equivalent to the norm

$$\|f\|_{\overline{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(w)} \equiv \inf\Big\{\lambda > 0 : \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n, r>0} \varphi(x,r)^{-1}\,\Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x,r))^{-1}\big) \times \fint_{B(x,r)} \Phi\Big(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\Big) w(x)dx \le 1\Big\}.$$

See [22, p. 416]. The latter was used in [22, 25, 26, 31], see also references therein. For Φ and $\widetilde{\Phi}$, we have the following estimate, whose proof is similar to [21, Lemmas 4.2]. So, we omit the details.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $0 < p_0 \le p_1 < \infty$ and let $\widetilde{C}$ be a positive constant. Suppose that we are given a non-negative measurable function w on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and a Young function Φ which is lower type p_0 and upper type p_1. Then there exists a positive constant C such that for any ball B of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mu \in (0,\infty)$*

$$\int_B \Phi\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\mu}\right) w(x)dx \le \widetilde{C}$$

implies that $\|f\|_{L_w^\Phi(B)} \le C\mu$.

For a Young function Φ, the complementary function $\widetilde{\Phi}(r)$ is defined by

$$\widetilde{\Phi}(r) \equiv \begin{cases} \sup\{rs - \Phi(s) : s \in [0,\infty)\} & \text{if} \quad r \in [0,\infty), \\ +\infty & \text{if} \quad r = +\infty. \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

The complementary function $\widetilde{\Phi}$ is also a Young function and it satisfies $\widetilde{\widetilde{\Phi}} = \Phi$. Here we recall three examples.

Example.

1. If $\Phi(r) = r$, then $\widetilde{\Phi}(r) = 0$ for $0 \le r \le 1$ and $\widetilde{\Phi}(r) = +\infty$ for $r > 1$.
2. If $1 < p < \infty$, $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ and $\Phi(r) = r^p/p$, then $\widetilde{\Phi}(r) = r^{p'}/p'$.
3. If $\Phi(r) = e^r - r - 1$, then a calculation shows $\widetilde{\Phi}(r) = (1+r)\log(1+r) - r$.

Note that $\Phi \in \nabla_2$ if and only if $\widetilde{\Phi} \in \Delta_2$. It is also known that

$$r \le \Phi^{-1}(r)\widetilde{\Phi}^{-1}(r) \le 2r \qquad \text{for } r \ge 0. \tag{2.4}$$

Note that Young functions satisfy the properties;

$$\Phi(\alpha t) \le \alpha\Phi(t) \tag{2.5}$$

for all $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ and $0 \le t < \infty$, and

$$\Phi(\beta t) \ge \beta \Phi(t) \tag{2.6}$$

for all $\beta > 1$ and $0 \le t < \infty$.

The following analogue of the Hölder inequality is known, see [35].

Theorem 2.6. [35] *For a non-negative measurable function w on $\mathbb{R}^n$, a Young function Φ and its complementary function $\widetilde{\Phi}$, the following inequality is valid for all measurable functions f and g: $\|fg\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le 2\|f\|_{L^\Phi_w}\|w^{-1}g\|_{L^{\widetilde{\Phi}}_w}$.*

An analogy of Theorem 2.6 for weak type spaces is available. If we define

$$\|f\|_{WL^\Phi_w} \equiv \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \|\chi_{\{|f|>\lambda\}}\|_{L^\Phi_w},$$

we can prove the following by a direct calculation:

Corollary 2.7. *Let Φ be a Young function and let B be a measurable set in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then $\|\chi_B\|_{WL^\Phi_w} = \|\chi_B\|_{L^\Phi_w} = \frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}(w(B)^{-1})}$.*

In the next sections where we prove our main estimates, we need the following lemma, which follows from Theorem 2.6.

Corollary 2.8. *For a non-negative measurable function w on $\mathbb{R}^n$, a Young function Φ and a ball $B = B(x,r)$, the following inequality is valid:*

$$\|f\|_{L^1(B)} \le 2\left\|\frac{1}{w}\right\|_{L^{\widetilde{\Phi}}_w(B)} \|f\|_{L^\Phi_w(B)}.$$

Lemma 2.9. *Let $\alpha \in (0,1]$ and $1 < p_0 \le p_1 < \infty$. Let also Φ be a Young function which is lower type p_0 and upper type p_1. Assume in addition $w \in A_{p_0}$. For a ball $B = B(x,r)$, the following inequality is valid:*

$$\|f\|_{L^1(B)} \lesssim |B|\Phi^{-1}\left(w(B)^{-1}\right)\|f\|_{L^\Phi_w(B)}.$$

Proof. We know that M is bounded on $L^\Phi_w(B)$; see [20]. Thus,

$$\frac{\|f\|_{L^1(B)}}{|B|}\|\chi_B\|_{L^\Phi_w(B)} \le \|Mf\|_{L^\Phi_w(B)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^\Phi_w(B)}.$$

So, Lemma 2.9 is proved. □

2.2 Weighted Hardy operator

We will use the following statement on the boundedness of the weighted Hardy operator

$$H^*_w g(t) := \int_t^\infty g(s)w(s)ds, \quad 0 < t < \infty,$$

where w is a weight.

The following theorem was proved in [9]. In (2.7) and (2.8) below, it will be understood that $\frac{1}{\infty} = 0$ and $0 \cdot \infty = 0$.

Theorem 2.10. *Let v_1, v_2 and w be weights on $(0,\infty)$. Assume that v_1 is bounded outside a neighborhood of the origin. Then the inequality*

$$\sup_{t>0} v_2(t) H_w^* g(t) \le C \sup_{t>0} v_1(t) g(t) \tag{2.7}$$

holds for some $C > 0$ for all non-negative and non-decreasing g on $(0,\infty)$ if and only if

$$B := \sup_{t>0} v_2(t) \int_t^\infty \frac{w(s)ds}{\sup_{s<\tau<\infty} v_1(\tau)} < \infty. \tag{2.8}$$

Moreover, the value $C = B$ is the best constant for (2.7).

2.3 John-Nirenberg inequality

When we deal with commutators generated by BMO functions, we need the following fundamental estimates.

Lemma 2.11. (The John–Nirenberg inequality [27]) *Let $b \in \mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

(1) *There exist constants C_1, $C_2 > 0$ independent of b, such that*

$$|\{x \in B : |b(x) - b_B| > \beta\}| \le C_1 |B| e^{-C_2 \beta / \|b\|_*}, \quad \forall B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$$

for all $\beta > 0$.

(2) *The following norm equivalence holds:*

$$\|b\|_* \approx \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r>0} \left(\frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} |b(y) - b_{B(x,r)}|^p dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{2.9}$$

for $1 < p < \infty$.

(3) *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\left| b_{B(x,r)} - b_{B(x,t)} \right| \le C \|b\|_* \ln \frac{t}{r} \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < 2r < t, \tag{2.10}$$

where C is independent of b, x, r and t.

3 Intrinsic square functions in $M_w^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

The following lemma generalizes Guliyev's lemma [4, 5, 6] for Orlicz spaces:

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\alpha \in (0,1]$ and $1 < p_0 \le p_1 < \infty$. Let Φ be a Young function which is lower type p_0 and upper type p_1. Assume that the weight belongs to the class $w \in A_{p_0}$. Then for the operator G_α the following inequality is valid:*

$$\|G_\alpha f\|_{L_w^\Phi(B)} \lesssim \int_{2r}^\infty \|f\|_{L^\Phi(B(x_0,t))} \frac{\Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,t))^{-1}\big)}{\Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,r))^{-1}\big)} \frac{dt}{t} \tag{3.1}$$

for all $f \in L_w^{\Phi,\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $B = B(x_0,r)$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$.

Proof. With the notation $2B = B(x_0, 2r)$, we decompose f as

$$f = f_1 + f_2, \quad f_1(y) \equiv f(y)\chi_{2B}(y), \quad f_2(y) \equiv f(y)\chi_{\complement(2B)}(y).$$

We have

$$\|G_\alpha f\|_{L^\Phi_w(B)} \le \|G_\alpha f_1\|_{L^\Phi_w(B)} + \|G_\alpha f_2\|_{L^\Phi_w(B)}$$

by the triangle inequality. Since $f_1 \in L^\Phi_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it follows from Theorem 1.3 that

$$\|G_\alpha f_1\|_{L^\Phi_w(B)} \le \|G_\alpha f_1\|_{L^\Phi_w(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f_1\|_{L^\Phi_w(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|f\|_{L^\Phi_w(2B)}. \tag{3.2}$$

So, we can control f_1.

Now let us estimate $\|G_\alpha f_2\|_{L^\Phi_w(B)}$. Let $x \in B = B(x_0, r)$ and write out $G_\alpha f_2(x)$ in full:

$$G_\alpha(f)(x) \equiv \left(\iint_{\Gamma(x)} \left(\sup_{\phi \in C_\alpha} |f_2 * \phi_t(y)| \right)^2 \frac{dydt}{t^{n+1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{3.3}$$

Let $(y,t) \in \Gamma(x)$. We next write the convolution $f_2 * \phi_t(y)$ out in full:

$$|f_2 * \phi_t(y)| = \left| t^{-n} \int_{|y-z|\le t} \phi\left(\frac{y-z}{t}\right) f_2(z) dz \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{t^n} \int_{|y-z|\le t} |f_2(z)| dz. \tag{3.4}$$

Recall that the suppost of f is contained in $\complement(2B)$. Keeping this in mind, let $z \in B(y,t) \cap \complement(2B)$. Since $(y,t) \in \Gamma(x)$, we have

$$|z - x| \le |z - y| + |y - x| \le 2t. \tag{3.5}$$

Another geometric observation shows

$$r = 2r - r \le |z - x_0| - |x_0 - x| \le |x - z|.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$2t \ge r \tag{3.6}$$

from (3.5). So, putting together (3.3)–(3.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G_\alpha f_2(x) &\lesssim \left(\int\int_{\Gamma(x)} \left| t^{-n} \int_{|y-z|\le t} |f_2(z)| dz \right|^2 \frac{dydt}{t^{n+1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\le \left(\int_{t>r/2} \int_{|x-y|<t} \left(\int_{|z-x|\le 2t} |f(z)| dz \right)^2 \frac{dydt}{t^{3n+1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \left(\int_{t>r/2} \left(\int_{|z-x|\le 2t} |f(z)| dz \right)^2 \frac{dt}{t^{2n+1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

We make another geometric observation:

$$|z-x| \geq |z-x_0| - |x_0-x| \geq \frac{1}{2}|z-x_0|. \tag{3.7}$$

By Minkowski's inequality, we obtain

$$G_\alpha f_2(x) \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{t>\frac{|z-x|}{2}} \frac{dt}{t^{2n+1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |f(z)|dz.$$

Thanks to (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_\alpha f_2(x) &\lesssim \int_{|z-x_0|>2r} \frac{|f(z)|}{|z-x|^n} dz \\ &\lesssim \int_{|z-x_0|>2r} \frac{|f(z)|}{|z-x_0|^n} dz \\ &= \int_{|z-x_0|>2r} |f(z)| \left(\int_{|z-x_0|}^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{t^{n+1}} \right) dz \\ &= \int_{2r}^{\infty} \left(\int_{B(x_0,t)} |f(z)|dz \right) \frac{dt}{t^{n+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

If we invoke Lemma 2.9, then we obtain

$$G_\alpha f_2(x) \lesssim \int_{2r}^{\infty} \|f\|_{L^\Phi_w(B(x_0,t))} \Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,t))^{-1}\big) \frac{dt}{t}. \tag{3.8}$$

Moreover,

$$\|G_\alpha f_2\|_{L^\Phi_w(B)} \lesssim \int_{2r}^{\infty} \|f\|_{L^\Phi_w(B(x_0,t))} \frac{\Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,t))^{-1}\big)}{\Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,r))^{-1}\big)} \frac{dt}{t}. \tag{3.9}$$

Thus, it follows from (3.2) and (3.8) that

$$\|G_\alpha f\|_{L^\Phi_w(B)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^\Phi_w(2B)} + \int_{2r}^{\infty} \|f\|_{L^\Phi_w(B(x_0,t))} \frac{\Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,t))^{-1}\big)}{\Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,r))^{-1}\big)} \frac{dt}{t}. \tag{3.10}$$

On the other hand, by (2.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,r))^{-1}\big) &\approx \Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,r))^{-1}\big) r^n \int_{2r}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t^{n+1}} \\ &\lesssim \int_{2r}^{\infty} \Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,t))^{-1}\big) \frac{dt}{t} \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\|f\|_{L^\Phi_w(2B)} \lesssim \int_{2r}^{\infty} \|f\|_{L^\Phi_w(B(x_0,t))} \frac{\Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,t))^{-1}\big)}{\Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,r))^{-1}\big)} \frac{dt}{t}. \tag{3.11}$$

Thus, it follows from (3.10) and (3.11) that

$$\|G_\alpha f\|_{L^\Phi_w(B)} \lesssim \int_{2r}^\infty \|f\|_{L^\Phi_w(B(x_0,t))} \frac{\Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,t))^{-1}\big)}{\Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,r))^{-1}\big)} \frac{dt}{t}.$$

So, we are done. □

With this preparation, we can prove Theorem 1.2

Proof. Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Write

$$v_1(r) \equiv \varphi_1(x,r)^{-1}, \quad v_2(r) \equiv \frac{1}{\varphi_2(x,r)\Phi^{-1}(w(B(x_0,r))^{-1})},$$
$$g(r) \equiv \|f\|_{L^\Phi_w(B(x_0,r))}, \quad \omega(r) \equiv \frac{\Phi^{-1}(w(B(x_0,r))^{-1})}{r}.$$

We omit a routine procude of truncation to justify the application of Theorem 2.10. By Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 2.10, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|G_\alpha f\|_{M^{\Phi,\varphi_2}_w(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\lesssim \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n,\, r>0} \frac{1}{\varphi_2(x,r)} \int_r^\infty \|f\|_{L^\Phi_w(B(x_0,t))} \Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,t))^{-1}\big) \frac{dt}{t} \\
&\lesssim \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n,\, r>0} \frac{1}{\varphi_1(x,r)}\, \Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,r))^{-1}\big)\, \|f\|_{L^\Phi_w(B(x_0,r))} \\
&= \|f\|_{M^{\Phi,\varphi_1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

So we are done. □

The following lemma is an easy consequence of the monotonicity of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^\Phi_w}$ and Wilson's estimate;

$$G_{\alpha,\beta}(f)(x) \le \beta^{\frac{3n}{2}+\alpha} G_\alpha(f)(x) \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^n),$$

which was proved in [36].

Lemma 3.2. *For $j \in \mathrm{Z}^+$, denote*

$$G_{\alpha,2^j}(f)(x) \equiv \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{|x-y|\le 2^j t} (A_\alpha f(t,y))^2 \frac{dydt}{t^{n+1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Let Φ be a Young function and $0 < \alpha \le 1$. Then we have

$$\|G_{\alpha,2^j}(f)\|_{L^\Phi_w} \lesssim 2^{j(\frac{3n}{2}+\alpha)} \|G_\alpha(f)\|_{L^\Phi_w}$$

for all $f \in L^\Phi_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Now we can prove Thoerem 1.4. We write $g^*_{\lambda,\alpha}(f)(x)$ out in full:

$$[g^*_{\lambda,\alpha}(f)(x)]^2 = \iint_{\Gamma(x)} + \iint_{\complement\Gamma(x)} \left(\frac{t}{t+|x-y|}\right)^{n\lambda} (A_\alpha f(t,y))^2 \frac{dydt}{t^{n+1}} := I + II.$$

As for I, a crude estimate suffices;

$$I \le \iint_{\Gamma(x)} (A_\alpha f(t,y))^2 \frac{dydt}{t^{n+1}} \le (G_\alpha f(x))^2. \tag{3.12}$$

Thus, the heart of the matters is to control II. We decompose the ambient space $\mathbb{R}^n$:

$$\begin{aligned} II &\le \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \int_{2^{j-1}t \le |x-y| \le 2^j t} \left(\frac{t}{t+|x-y|}\right)^{n\lambda} (A_\alpha f(t,y))^2 \frac{dydt}{t^{n+1}} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \int_{2^{j-1}t \le |x-y| \le 2^j t} 2^{-jn\lambda} (A_\alpha f(t,y))^2 \frac{dydt}{t^{n+1}} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \iint_{\Gamma_{2^j}(x)} \frac{(A_\alpha f(t,y))^2}{2^{jn\lambda}} \frac{dydt}{t^{n+1}} := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(G_{\alpha,2^j}(f)(x))^2}{2^{jn\lambda}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Thus, putting together (3.12) and (3.13), we obtain

$$\|g^*_{\lambda,\alpha}(f)\|_{M^{\Phi,\varphi_2}_w} \lesssim \|G_\alpha f\|_{M^{\Phi,\varphi_2}_w} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\frac{jn\lambda}{2}} \|G_{\alpha,2^j}(f)\|_{M^{\Phi,\varphi_2}_w}. \tag{3.14}$$

By Theorem 1.2, we have

$$\|G_\alpha f\|_{M^{\Phi,\varphi_2}_w(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{M^{\Phi,\varphi_1}_w(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{3.15}$$

In the sequel, we will estimate $\|G_{\alpha,2^j}(f)\|_{M^{\Phi,\varphi_2}_w}$. We divide $\|G_{\alpha,2^j}(f)\|_{L^\Phi_w(B)}$ into two parts:

$$\|G_{\alpha,2^j}(f)\|_{L^\Phi_w(B)} \le \|G_{\alpha,2^j}(f_1)\|_{L^\Phi_w(B)} + \|G_{\alpha,2^j}(f_2)\|_{L^\Phi_w(B)}, \tag{3.16}$$

where $f_1(y) \equiv f(y)\chi_{2B}(y)$ and $f_2(y) \equiv f(y) - f_1(y)$. For $\|G_{\alpha,2^j}(f_1)\|_{L^\Phi_w(B)}$, by Lemma 3.2 and (3.11), we have (see also, [8, p. 47, (5.4)])

$$\begin{aligned} \|G_{\alpha,2^j}(f_1)\|_{L^\Phi_w(B)} &\lesssim 2^{j(\frac{3n}{2}+\alpha)} \|G_\alpha(f_1)\|_{L^\Phi_w(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim 2^{j(\frac{3n}{2}+\alpha)} \|f\|_{L^\Phi_w(2B)} \qquad (3.17) \\ &\lesssim 2^{j(\frac{3n}{2}+\alpha)} \int_{2r}^{\infty} \|f\|_{L^\Phi_w(B(x_0,t))} \frac{\Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,t))^{-1}\big)}{\Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,r))^{-1}\big)} \frac{dt}{t}. \qquad (3.18) \end{aligned}$$

For $\|G_{\alpha,2^j}(f_2)\|_{L^{\Phi}_w(B)}$, we first write the quantity out in full:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\alpha,2^j}(f_2)(x) &= \left(\iint_{\Gamma_{2^j}(x)} (A_\alpha f(t,y))^2 \frac{dydt}{t^{n+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left(\iint_{\Gamma_{2^j}(x)} \left(\sup_{\phi\in C_\alpha} |f*\phi_t(y)|\right)^2 \frac{dydt}{t^{n+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

A geometric observation shows that

$$G_{\alpha,2^j}(f_2)(x) \lesssim \left(\iint_{\Gamma_{2^j}(x)} \left(\int_{|z-y|\le t} |f_2(z)|dz\right)^2 \frac{dydt}{t^{3n+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Since $|z-x| \le |z-y| + |y-x| \le 2^{j+1}t$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\alpha,2^j}(f_2)(x) &\lesssim \left(\iint_{\Gamma_{2^j}(x)} \left(\int_{|z-x|\le 2^{j+1}t} |f_2(z)|dz\right)^2 \frac{dydt}{t^{3n+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_{|z-x|\le 2^{j+1}t} |f_2(z)|dz\right)^2 \frac{2^{jn}dt}{t^{2n+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim 2^{\frac{jn}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\frac{|z-x|}{2^{j+1}}}^\infty \frac{|f_2(z)|^2}{t^{2n+1}}dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dz \lesssim 2^{\frac{3jn}{2}} \int_{\complement B(x_0,2r)} \frac{|f(z)|dz}{|z-x|^n}. \end{aligned}$$

A geometric observation shows

$$|z-x| \ge |z-x_0| - |x_0-x| \ge |z-x_0| - \frac{1}{2}|z-x_0| = \frac{1}{2}|z-x_0|.$$

Thus, we have

$$G_{\alpha,2^j}(f_2)(x) \lesssim 2^{\frac{3jn}{2}} \int_{|z-x_0|>2r} \frac{|f(z)|}{|z-x_0|^n}dz.$$

By Fubini's theorem and Lemma 2.9, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\alpha,2^j}(f_2)(x) &\lesssim 2^{\frac{3jn}{2}} \int_{|z-x_0|>2r} |f(z)| \left(\int_{|z-x_0|}^\infty \frac{dt}{t^{n+1}}\right) dz \\ &\lesssim 2^{\frac{3jn}{2}} \int_{2r}^\infty \left(\int_{|z-x_0|<t} |f(z)| \frac{dt}{t^{n+1}}\right) dz \\ &\lesssim 2^{\frac{3jn}{2}} \int_{2r}^\infty \|f\|_{L^{\Phi}_w(B(x_0,t))} \Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,t))^{-1}\big) \frac{dt}{t}. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\|G_{\alpha,2^j}(f_2)\|_{L^\Phi_w(B)} \lesssim 2^{\frac{3jn}{2}} \int_{2r}^\infty \|f\|_{L^\Phi_w(B(x_0,t))} \frac{\Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,t))^{-1}\big)}{\Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,r))^{-1}\big)} \frac{dt}{t}. \quad (3.19)$$

Combining (3.16), (3.18) and (3.19), we have

$$\|G_{\alpha,2^j}(f)\|_{L^\Phi_w(B)} \lesssim 2^{j(\frac{3n}{2}+\alpha)} \int_{2r}^\infty \|f\|_{L^\Phi_w(B(x_0,t))} \frac{\Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,t))^{-1}\big)}{\Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,r))^{-1}\big)} \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$\|G_{\alpha,2^j} f\|_{M^{\Phi,\varphi_2}_w(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 2^{j(\frac{3n}{2}+\alpha)} \sup_{\substack{x_0\in\mathbb{R}^n \\ r>0}} \int_r^\infty \Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,t))^{-1}\big) \frac{\|f\|_{L^\Phi_w(B(x,t))}}{\varphi_2(x_0,r)} \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Thus by Theorem 2.10 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|G_{\alpha,2^j} f\|_{M^{\Phi,\varphi_2}_w(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim 2^{j(\frac{3n}{2}+\alpha)} \sup_{\substack{x_0\in\mathbb{R}^n \\ r>0}} \frac{\Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,r))^{-1}\big)}{\varphi_1(x_0,r)} \|f\|_{L^\Phi_w(B(x,r))} \\ &= 2^{j(\frac{3n}{2}+\alpha)} \|f\|_{M^{\Phi,\varphi_1}_w(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

Since $\lambda > 3 + \dfrac{2\alpha}{n}$, by (3.14), (3.15) and (3.20), we can conclude the proof of the theorem.

4 Commutators of the intrinsic square functions in $M^{\Phi,\varphi}_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$

We start with a characterization of the BMO norm.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $0 < p_0 \le p_1 < \infty$. Let $b \in \mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and Φ be a Young function which is lower type p_0 and upper type p_1. Then*

$$\|b\|_* \approx \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n, r>0} \Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x,r))^{-1}\big) \big\|b - b_{B(x,r)}\big\|_{L^\Phi_w(B(x,r))}.$$

Proof. By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\|b\|_* \lesssim \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n, r>0} \Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x,r))^{-1}\big) \big\|b - b_{B(x,r)}\big\|_{L^\Phi_w(B(x,r))}.$$

Now we show that

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n, r>0} \Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x,r))^{-1}\big) \big\|b - b_{B(x,r)}\big\|_{L^\Phi_w(B(x,r))} \lesssim \|b\|_*.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\|b\|_* = 1$; otherwise, we replace b by $b/\|b\|_*$. By the fact that Φ is lower type p_0 and upper type p_1 and (2.2) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B(x,r)} \Phi\left(\frac{|b(y)-b_{B(x,r)}|\Phi^{-1}\big(|B(x,r)|^{-1}\big)}{\|b\|_*}\right) dy\\
&= \int_{B(x,r)} \Phi\left(|b(y)-b_{B(x,r)}|\Phi^{-1}\big(|B(x,r)|^{-1}\big)\right) dy\\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|}\int_{B(x,r)} \big[|b(y)-b_{B(x,r)}|^{p_0}+|b(y)-b_{B(x,r)}|^{p_1}\big]\, dy \lesssim 1.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.5 we get the desired result. □

Remark 4.2. Note that a counterpart to Lemma 4.1 for the variable exponent Lebesgue space $L^{p(\cdot)}$ case was obtained in [13].

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\alpha \in (0,1]$, $1 < p_0 \le p_1 < \infty$ and $b \in \mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let Φ be a Young function which is lower type p_0 and upper type p_1. Then the inequality*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|[b,G_\alpha]f\|_{L^\Phi_w(B(x_0,r))}\\
&\lesssim \frac{\|b\|_*}{\Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,r))^{-1}\big)}\int_{2r}^\infty \Big(1+\ln\frac{t}{r}\Big)\|f\|_{L^\Phi_w(B(x_0,t))}\Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,t))^{-1}\big)\frac{dt}{t}
\end{aligned}$$

holds for any ball $B(x_0,r)$ and for any $f \in L^{\Phi,\mathrm{loc}}_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. For an arbitrary $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, set $B \equiv B(x_0,r)$ for the ball centered at x_0 and of radius r. Write $f = f_1 + f_2$ with $f_1 \equiv f\chi_{2B}$ and $f_2 \equiv f\chi_{\complement_{(2B)}}$. We have $\|[b,G_\alpha]f\|_{L^\Phi_w(B)} \le \|[b,G_\alpha]f_1\|_{L^\Phi_w(B)} + \|[b,G_\alpha]f_2\|_{L^\Phi_w(B)}$ by the triangle inequality. From Theorem 1.6, the boundedness of $[b,G_\alpha]$ in $L^\Phi_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$ it follows that $\|[b,G_\alpha]f_1\|_{L^\Phi_w(B)} \le \|[b,G_\alpha]f_1\|_{L^\Phi_w(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|b\|_*\,\|f_1\|_{L^\Phi_w(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|b\|_*\,\|f\|_{L^\Phi_w(2B)}$. For $\|[b,G_\alpha]f_2\|_{L^\Phi_w(B)}$, we write it out in full

$$[b,G_\alpha]f_2(x) = \left(\iint_{\Gamma(x)} \sup_{\phi\in C_\alpha}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}[b(y)-b(z)]\phi_t(y-z)f_2(z)dz\right|^2\frac{dydt}{t^{n+1}}\right)^{\frac12}.$$

We then divide it into two parts:

$$\begin{aligned}
[b,G_\alpha]f_2(x) \quad\le\quad & \left(\iint_{\Gamma(x)} \sup_{\phi\in C_\alpha}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}[b(y)-b_B]\phi_t(y-z)f_2(z)dz\right|^2\frac{dydt}{t^{n+1}}\right)^{\frac12}\\
&+\left(\iint_{\Gamma(x)} \sup_{\phi\in C_\alpha}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}[b_B-b(z)]\phi_t(y-z)f_2(z)dz\right|^2\frac{dydt}{t^{n+1}}\right)^{\frac12}\\
:=\quad & \mathfrak{A}+\mathfrak{B}.
\end{aligned}$$

First, for the quantity $\mathfrak{A}$, we proceed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{A} &= \left(\iint_{\Gamma(x)\cap\mathbb{R}^n\times[r,\infty)} |b(y)-b_B|^2 \sup_{\phi\in C_\alpha}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\phi_t(y-z)f_2(z)dz\right|^2\frac{dydt}{t^{n+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ &\lesssim \left(\iint_{\Gamma(x)\cap\mathbb{R}^n\times[r,\infty)} |b(y)-b_B|^2\left(\frac{1}{t^n}\int_{B(x,t)}|f(z)|\,dz\right)^2\frac{dydt}{t^{n+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\int_{B(x,t)}|f(z)|\,dz \lesssim |B(x,t)|\Phi^{-1}(w(B(x,t)^{-1})\|f\|_{L^\Phi_w(B(x,t))}.$$

Thus, by virtue of the embedding $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})\hookrightarrow\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{A} &\lesssim \left(\iint_{\Gamma(x)\cap\mathbb{R}^n\times[r,\infty)} |b(y)-b_B|^2\Phi^{-1}(w(B(x,t)^{-1})^2\|f\|_{L^\Phi_w(B(x,t))}{}^2\frac{dydt}{t^{n+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ &\lesssim \left(\int_r^\infty \Phi^{-1}(w(B(x,t)^{-1})^2\log\left(2+\frac{t}{r}\right)^2\|f\|_{L^\Phi_w(B(x,t))}{}^2\frac{dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ &\lesssim \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty \Phi^{-1}(w(B(x,2^jr)^{-1})^2\log\left(2+2^j\right)^2\|f\|_{L^\Phi_w(B(x,2^jr))}{}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ &\lesssim \sum_{j=1}^\infty \Phi^{-1}(w(B(x,2^jr)^{-1})\log\left(2+2^j\right)\|f\|_{L^\Phi_w(B(x,2^jr))}\\ &\lesssim \int_r^\infty \Phi^{-1}(w(B(x,t)^{-1})\log\left(2+\frac{t}{r}\right)\|f\|_{L^\Phi_w(B(x,t))}\frac{dt}{t}.\end{aligned}$$

For the quantity $\mathfrak{B}$, since $|y-x|<t$, we have $|x-z|<2t$. Thus, by Minkowski's inequality, we have a pointwise estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{B} &\le \left(\iint_{\Gamma(x)}\left|\int_{B(x,2t)}|b_B-b(z)||f_2(z)|dz\right|^2\frac{dydt}{t^{3n+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ &\lesssim \left(\int_0^\infty\left|\int_{B(x,2t)}|b_B-b(z)||f_2(z)|dz\right|^2\frac{dt}{t^{2n+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ &\lesssim \int_{\complement B(x_0,2r)}\frac{|b_B-b(z)||f(z)|}{|x-z|^n}dz.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\|\mathfrak{B}\|_{L^\Phi_w(B)}\lesssim\Big\|\int_{\complement(2B)}\frac{|b(z)-b_B|}{|x_0-z|^n}|f(z)|dz\Big\|_{L^\Phi_w(B)}.$$

Since $|z-x| \geq \frac{1}{2}|z-x_0|$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathfrak{B}\|_{L^{\Phi}_w(B)} &\lesssim \frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,r))^{-1}\big)} \int_{\complement(2B)} \frac{|b(z)-b_B|}{|x_0-z|^n}|f(z)|dz \\
&\approx \frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,r))^{-1}\big)} \int_{\complement(2B)} |b(z)-b_B||f(z)| \int_{|x_0-z|}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t^{n+1}}dz \\
&\approx \frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,r))^{-1}\big)} \int_{2r}^{\infty} \left(\int_{2r\leq|x_0-z|\leq t} |b(z)-b_B||f(z)|dz \right) \frac{dt}{t^{n+1}} \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,r))^{-1}\big)} \int_{2r}^{\infty} \left(\int_{B(x_0,t)} |b(z)-b_B||f(z)|dz \right) \frac{dt}{t^{n+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

We decompose the matters by using the trinangle inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathfrak{B}\|_{L^{\Phi}_w(B)} \lesssim{}& \frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,r))^{-1}\big)} \int_{2r}^{\infty} \left(\int_{B(x_0,t)} |b(z)-b_{B(x_0,t)}||f(z)|dz \right) \frac{dt}{t^{n+1}} \\
&+ \int_{2r}^{\infty} \frac{|b_B-b_{B(x_0,t)}|}{\Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,r))^{-1}\big)} \left(\int_{B(x_0,t)} |f(z)|dz \right) \frac{dt}{t^{n+1}}
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Hölder's inequality, by Lemma 4.1 and (2.10) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathfrak{B}\|_{L^{\Phi}_w(B)} &\lesssim \int_{2r}^{\infty} \big\||b-b_{B(x_0,t)}|w(\cdot)^{-1}\big\|_{L^{\tilde{\Phi}}_w(B)} \frac{\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}_w(B(x_0,t))}dt}{t^{n+1}\Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,r))^{-1}\big)} \\
&\quad + \int_{2r}^{\infty} |b_B-b_{B(x_0,t)}|\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}_w(B(x_0,t))} \frac{\Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,t))^{-1}\big)}{\Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,r))^{-1}\big)} \frac{dt}{t} \\
&\lesssim \|b\|_* \int_{2r}^{\infty} \Big(1+\ln\frac{t}{r}\Big)\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}_w(B(x_0,t))} \frac{\Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,t))^{-1}\big)}{\Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,r))^{-1}\big)} \frac{dt}{t}.
\end{aligned}$$

Summing $\|\mathfrak{A}\|_{L^{\Phi}_w(B)}$ and $\|\mathfrak{B}\|_{L^{\Phi}_w(B)}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|[b,G_\alpha]f_2\|_{L^{\Phi}_w(B)} \\
&\lesssim \frac{\|b\|_*}{\Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,r))^{-1}\big)} \int_{2r}^{\infty} \Big(1+\ln\frac{t}{r}\Big)\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}_w(B(x_0,t))}\Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,t))^{-1}\big)\frac{dt}{t}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|[b,G_\alpha]f\|_{L^{\Phi}_w(B)} \lesssim \|b\|_*\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}_w(2B)} \\
&+ \frac{\|b\|_*}{\Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,r))^{-1}\big)} \int_{2r}^{\infty} \Big(1+\ln\frac{t}{r}\Big)\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}_w(B(x_0,t))}\Phi^{-1}\big(w(B(x_0,t))^{-1}\big)\frac{dt}{t},
\end{aligned}$$

and the statement of Lemma 4.3 follows by (3.11). □

Finally, Theorem 1.7 follows by Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 2.10 in the same manner as in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

5 Acknowledgements

The research of V. Guliyev and F. Deringoz was partially supported by the grant of Ahi Evran University Scientific Research Projects (PYO.FEN.4003.13.003) and (PYO.FEN.4003-2.13.007). We thank the referee for he/her valuable comments to the paper.

References

[1] A. Cianchi, *Strong and weak type inequalities for some classical operators in Orlicz spaces*, J. London Math. Soc. **60**(2) (1999), no. 1, 187–202.

[2] F. Deringoz, V.S.Guliyev, S.G. Samko, *Boundedness of maximal and singular operators on generalized Orlicz-Morrey spaces*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, **242** (2014), 139–158.

[3] S. Gala, M.A. Ragusa, Y. Sawano, H. Tanaka, *Uniqueness criterion of weak solutions for the dissipative quasi-geostrophic equations in Orlicz-Morrey spaces*, Applicable Analysis, (2014), 356–368.

[4] V.S. Guliyev, *Integral operators on function spaces on the homogeneous groups and on domains in* $\mathbb{R}^n$, Doctor's degree dissertation, Mat. Inst. Steklov, Moscow, 329 pp. (in Russian) 1994.

[5] V.S. Guliyev, *Function spaces, Integral Operators and Two Weighted Inequalities on Homogeneous Groups*, Some Applications. Casioglu, Baku, 332 pp. (in Russian) 1999.

[6] V.S. Guliyev, *Boundedness of the maximal, potential and singular operators in the generalized Morrey spaces*, J. Inequal. Appl. Art. ID 503948 (2009), 20 pp.

[7] V.S. Guliyev, S.S. Aliyev, T. Karaman, P.S. Shukurov, *Boundedness of sublinear operators and commutators on generalized Morrey Space*, Int. Eq. Op. Theory. **71** (3) (2011), 327–355.

[8] V.S. Guliyev, *Generalized weighted Morrey spaces and higher order commutators of sublinear operators*, Eurasian Math. J. **3** (3) (2012), 33–61.

[9] V.S. Guliyev, *Generalized local Morrey spaces and fractional integral operators with rough kernel*, J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.) **193** (2013), no. 2, 211–227.

[10] V.S. Guliyev, F. Deringoz, *On the Riesz potential and its commutators on generalized Orlicz-Morrey spaces*, Journal of Function Spaces., Article ID 617414, (2014) 11 pages http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/617414.

[11] V.S. Guliyev, F. Deringoz, *Boundedness of Intrinsic Square Functions and their Commutators on Generalized Orlicz-Morrey Spaces*, arXiv:1311.6122v2 [math.FA] , 26 November (2013), 1–22.

[12] J.J. Hasanov, Φ-*admissible sublinear singular operators and generalized Orlicz-Morrey spaces*, Journal of Function Spaces, Volume 2014 (2014), Article ID 505237, 7 pages
http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jfsa/aip/505237/

[13] M. Izuki, Y. Sawano, *Variable Lebesgue norm estimates for* BMO *functions*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **62** (137) (2012), no. 3, 717–727.

[14] J.Z. Huang, Y. Liu, *Some characterizations of weighted Hardy spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **363** (2010), 121–127.

[15] H. Kita, *On maximal functions in Orlicz spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **124** (1996), 3019–3025.

[16] H. Kita, *On Hardy-Littlewood maximal functions in Orlicz spaces*, Math. Nachr. **183** (1997), 135–155.

[17] V. Kokilashvili, M.M. Krbec, *Weighted Inequalities in Lorentz and Orlicz Spaces*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1991.

[18] M.A. Krasnoselskii, Ya.B. Rutickii, *Convex Functions and Orlicz Spaces*, English translation P. Noordhoff Ltd., Groningen, 1961.

[19] Y. Liang, E. Nakai, D. Yang, J. Zhang, *Boundedness of Intrinsic Littlewood-Paley Functions on Musielak-Orlicz Morrey and Campanato Spaces*, Banach J. Math. Anal. **8** no. 1 (2014), 221–268.

[20] R.A. Kerman, A. Torchinsky, *Integral inequalities with weights for the Hardy maximal function*, Studia Math. **71**, (1981/82), no. 3, 277–284.

[21] L.D. Ky, *New Hardy spaces of Musielak-Orlicz type and boundedness of sublinear operators*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory **78** (2014), no. 1, 115–150.

[22] Y. Mizuta, E. Nakai, T. Ohno, T. Shimomura, *Maximal functions, Riesz potentials and Sobolev embeddings on Musielak-Orlicz-Morrey spaces of variable exponent in* $\mathbb{R}^n$, Revista Matem. Complut. **25** (2012), 413–434

[23] C.B. Morrey, *On the solutions of quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **43** (1938), 126–166.

[24] E. Nakai, *Generalized fractional integrals on Orlicz-Morrey spaces*, In: Banach and Function Spaces. (Kitakyushu, 2003), Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama (2004), 323–333.

[25] E. Nakai, *Orlicz-Morrey spaces and the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function*, Studia Math. **188** no.3 (2008), 193–221.

[26] E. Nakai, *Calderón-Zygmund operators on Orlicz-Morrey spaces and modular inequalities*, Banach and function spaces II, Yokohama Publ, Yokohama (2008), 393–410.

[27] F. John, L. Nirenberg, *On functions of bounded mean oscillation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **14** (1961), 415–426.

[28] W. Orlicz, *Über eine gewisse Klasse von Räumen vom Typus B*, Bull. Acad. Polon. A (1932), 207–220; reprinted in: Collected Papers, PWN, Warszawa (1988), 217–230.

[29] W. Orlicz, *Über Räume* (L^M), Bull. Acad. Polon. A (1936), 93–107, reprinted in: Collected Papers, PWN, Warszawa (1988), 345–359.

[30] M.M. Rao, Z.D. Ren, *Theory of Orlicz Spaces*, M. Dekker, Inc., New York, 1991.

[31] Y. Sawano, S. Sugano, H. Tanaka, *Orlicz-Morrey spaces and fractional operators*, Potential Anal. **36** (2012), no. 4, 517–556.

[32] H. Wang, *Intrinsic square functions on the weighted Morrey spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **396** (2012), 302–314.

[33] H. Wang, *Boundedness of intrinsic square functions on the weighted weak Hardy spaces*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory **75** (2013), 135–149.

[34] H. Wang, H.P. Liu, *Weak type estimates of intrinsic square functions on the weighted Hardy spaces*, Arch. Math. **97** (2011), 49–59.

[35] G. Weiss, *A note on Orlicz spaces*, Portugal Math. **15** (1956), 35–47.

[36] M. Wilson, *The intrinsic square function*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **23** (2007), 771–791.

[37] M.Wilson, *Weighted Littlewood-Paley theory and Exponential-square integrability*, Lecture Notes in Math. vol. **1924**, Springer-Verlag, 2007.

[38] X. Wu, *Commutators of Intrinsic Square Functions on Generalized Morrey Spaces*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2014:128 (2014)

[a] Department of Mathematics, Ahi Evran University, Kirsehir, Turkey
[b] Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics, Baku, Azerbaijan
E-mail address: vagif@guliyev.com

[c] Baku State University, Baku, AZ 1148, Azerbaijan
E-mail address: mehriban_omarova@yahoo.com

[d] Department of Mathematics and Information Science, Tokyo Metropolitan University
E-mail address: yoshihiro-sawano@celery.ocn.ne.jp